1		HONORABLE RONALD B. LEIGHTON
2		
3		
4		
5		
6	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
7	WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT TACOMA	
8	BRIAN GOSSETT,	CASE NO. C14-5206 RBL
9	Plaintiff,	ORDER GRANTING MOTION TO
10	v.	PROCEED IFP
11	ARS NATIONAL SERVICES INC,	
12 13	Defendant.	
14	THIS MATTER is before the Court on Pla	intiff's Motion for Leave to Proceed in forma
15	pauperis.	
16	A district court may permit indigent litigants to proceed in forma pauperis upon	
17	completion of a proper affidavit of indigency. See 28 U.S.C. § 1915(a). The court has broad	
18	discretion in resolving the application, but "the privilege of proceeding in forma pauperis in civil	
19	actions for damages should be sparingly granted." Weller v. Dickson, 314 F.2d 598, 600 (9th	
20	Cir. 1963), cert. denied 375 U.S. 845 (1963). Moreover, a court should "deny leave to proceed	
21	in forma pauperis at the outset if it appears from the face of the proposed complaint that the	
22	action is frivolous or without merit." Tripati v. First Nat'l Bank & Trust, 821 F.2d 1368, 1369	
23	(9th Cir. 1987) (citations omitted); see also 28 U.S	S.C. § 1915(e)(2)(B)(i). An in forma pauperis
24		

1	complaint is frivolous if "it ha[s] no arguable substance in law or fact." <i>Id.</i> (citing <i>Rizzo v</i> .	
2	Dawson, 778 F.2d 527, 529 (9th Cir. 1985); Franklin v. Murphy, 745 F.2d 1221, 1228 (9th Cir.	
3	1984).	
4	Plaintiff appears to be indigent and his compliant is not frivolous on its face. The Motion	
5	for Leave to Proceed IFP is GRANTED.	
6	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
7	Dated this 19 th day of March, 2014.	
8		
9	RONALD B. LEIGHTON	
10	UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE	
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		